



MAJOR PROJECTS APPLICATION REVIEW SHEET FOR 2010

For use of RAMP Tax Advisory Board Members

The purpose of this review sheet is to provide RAMP Tax Advisory Board members the opportunity to make systematic and uniform observations to assess the importance and quality of the application under review. This review sheet is for your use only; the questions are intended to facilitate your consideration of each application on its merit and to serve as “notes” for your personal use during Board action on each application.

APPLICANT DETAILS & ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

RAMP applicant’s name:

Applicant’s description/background:

Does the applicant meet all eligibility requirements?

If the applicant is an educational institution, does the institution meet the criteria as set in the guidelines?

Did Applicant meet minimum bid? Quote requirements?

PROJECT DETAILS

Summary of the project’s highlights & salient features:

Summary of budgetary request:

Generally does the requested budget seem reasonable based on the proposed project?

What’s the applicant’s contribution toward the project?

Is the project collaborative in nature? If it is, summarize the nature of the collaboration:

Has the applicant applied for funds for other projects?

What are the project deadlines and datelines?

PROJECT IMPACT

How will the project enhance the quality of life of Weber County residents?

What is the intended impact on the community?

YOUR PERSONAL ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT

What's your overall impression of the application?

RATING THE PROJECT

Using a 1 thru 5 rating system, with 5 being the highest, rate the project on the basis of the following criteria. These ratings are not absolute; they are intended to help you in your decision making process.

1. Does the project significantly meet the needs of Weber County residents?
2. What's the level of the collaboration if the project is submitted in collaboration with other entities?
3. Are others, including the applicant, contributing toward the project? How much?
4. Does the applicant have reasonable plans to maintain the completed project in perpetuity?
5. Is the requested budget appropriate for the project?
6. Will the project be made available to Weber County residents free or at a reasonable cost?
7. Are the various aspects of the project clearly presented and defined?
8. Are the project completion datelines realistic?
9. Does the applicant have a history of successfully implementing grant projects?
10. What's the overall impression of the project?